

Judge Signature: _____ (name will be removed before form is available to presenter)

Poster Presentation Judging Form – Research Review 2009

Presenter's name: Mr. Ms. (circle one) _____ Dept. _____

Presentation: _____ Check if a _____ No-show –or _____ Non-Student presenter (Not eligible for a prize)

Overall Score:	5	4	3	2	1	Total Points: (from below)	
	Award	Consider	Good but	Fair/	Poor		
	Winner	For Award	Not great	Adequate			

Comments:

“Same-Department Judge”- Please include comments specifically evaluating the research component of the presentation.

“Different-Department Judge”- Please focus on how effectively the presenter communicated their research to someone outside the field.

NOTE: These comments will be used in the case of a tie, or in order to rank the award winners.

Please evaluate the following with 5 to 1 as a **Strength** (5) to **Weakness** (1).

Content:

1. Introduction: clear objectives and background	
2. Introduction: motivation for research understandable for a general audience	
3. Methodology: clearly explained for general audiences	
4. Quality of Proposed Research/Results/Finding: logical, clear, pertinent	
5. Conclusion: supported by presented information, clear summary	

Presentation:

6. Display aesthetics: creativity in display, visually interesting, professional calibre presentation	
7. Format: logical flow of sections, poster arrangement and organization	
8. Understanding of subject: presenter has good grasp of subject, able to deal with questions	
9. Ability to Stand Alone: were author absent, is poster thorough, understandable and effective	
10. Originality and Significance: knowledge of significance and originality, impact in life sciences	